



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,669	08/03/2001	Nicolaas Cornelius Van Zyl	1918-010967 6713		
7590 12/01/2003		EXAMINER			
Russell D Orkin			SEMUNEGUS, LULIT		
700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			3641		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/890,669	VAN ZYL, NICOL	AAS CORNELIUS				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
. •	·	Lulit Semunegus	3641					
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspond nc a	ddress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS occuse the application to become ABANE	be timely filed) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	August 2003 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	ion of Claims							
,	Claim(s) 24-46 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>46</u> is/are allowed.							
· · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>24-28 and 42-45</u> is/are rejected.							
:	Claim(s) <u>29-41</u> is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to th			ı .				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	oly to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ıl Stage				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		al application).				
а	n) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has beer	received.	.,				
Attachmen	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper N rmal Patent Application (P					

.Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-46 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'dwyer (6,477,801) in view of Black (4,835,621).

In regards to claim 24, O'dwyer teaches a firearm (10) with a safety means for impeding an unauthorized person to fire the firearm (18). Black teaches an information storage means (10) for recording at least one aspect of the group consisting of an image in the direction in which a shot is in use fired, and inherently storing a sound at about the time when a shot is in use fired (col. 1, lines 53-55). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to mount the information storage means (video camera) of Black into the firearm of O'dwyer to record and monitor the shooting action while O'dwyer's teaching shows safety means.

As to claim 25, Black teaches the information storage means (10) storing a unique code relating to each projectile fired (col. 7, lines 43-67).

Art Unit: 3641

4. Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'dwyer (6,477,801) and Black (4,835,621) in view of Eppler (5,062,232). O'dwyer and Black teach all the limitations of claims 26-27 the firearm including a laser system.

As to claim 26, Eppler teaches the firearm includes a laser system for generating a laser beam to ignite a charge to fire a projectile (col. 3, lines 29-35).

As to claim 27, Eppler teaches the firearm includes an electronic system for controlling firing of the firearm (20).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to combine the laser beam of Eppler to O'dwyer and Black invention to accurately aim the projectile.

5. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'dwyer (6,477,801) and Black (4,835,621) in view of WO-A-98 55 817 hereafter referred to as D1. O'dwyer and Black teach all the limitations of claim 28 the firearm except a plurality of barrels. D1 teaches the firearm includes a number of barrels (14,15) and wherein the barrels are pre-loaded with projectiles and charges.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to have plurality of barrels as taught in D1 as a design choice since D1 teaches it is well known in the art to have multiple numbers of barrels.

6. Claim 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'dwyer (6,477,801) and Black (4,835,621) in view of Hope (6,539,661).____

Art Unit: 3641

O'dwyer and Black teach all the limitations of claims 42-45 including a GPS (O'dwyer, col. 2, lines 36-46). Black and O'dwyer does not expressly teach a digital camera. Hope teaches a digital camera for recording images (col. 4, lines 15-16).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to use Digital camera instead of the video camer as taught by Hope since it is well known in the art that digital camera will function equally well. The motivation of using digital camera would be instant views of the image.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 29-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claim 46 is allowed.

Conclusion

9. All inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lulit Semunegus whose telephone number is (703) 306-5960. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

. Art Unit: 3641

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

.ls

2003-11-17

Lulit Semunegus Examiner Art Unit 3641

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER